The Stereochemical Character of s² Lone Pair in Bi³⁺ Aqua Cation

by B. Włodzimirska and A. Bilewicz*

Department of Radiochemistry, Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology, Dorodna 16, 03-195 Warsaw, Poland e-mail:abilewic@orange.ichtj.waw.pl

(Received August 29th, 2000; revised manuscript February 12th, 2001)

The inert pair effect is characteristic for the *p* block elements. Lone electron pair can either be an s^2 pair or occupy one of the hybrid orbitals. In the case, when the lone pair is a ns^2 pair, the ionic radius is large since it is determined by outermost ns orbital. Down each group of the periodic table the lone pair becomes more and more an s^2 pair [1]. The ionic radius strongly decreases, when the pair enters a hybrid orbital and becomes stereochemically active. There is ample evidence, that the character of the lone pair depends on the ligand in the complex. In the case of Bi³⁺ in fluorides and oxides, the lone pair is inactive [2], while in other complexes, *e.g.* chlorides, bromides [3] or tropolonates [4], $6s^2$ pair occupies the hybrid orbital. Stereochemical character of $6s^2$ pair depends on the stability of the complexes formed. In chlorides, bromides and tropolonates, high promotion energy for hybridization is compensated by formation of strong complexes and $6s^2$ pair occupies one of the hybrid orbitals. The complexes with fluorides and oxides are weaker and the $6s^2$ pair remains on unhybridized orbital.

Due to high tendency for polymerization in perchlorate aqueous solutions, such species as $Bi_6O_6^{6+}$, $Bi_6(OH)_{12}^{6+}$, $Bi_6O_6(OH)_3^{3+}$ and $Bi_6O_4(OH)_4^{6+}$ are formed as a result of association and hydrolysis [5,6]. The mean Bi–O bond distances in $Bi_6O_4(OH)_4^{6+}$ were determined to be 215.4 pm (bridging oxide) and 240.2 pm (hydroxide group) [6]. From these data it is difficult to conclude about stereochemical character of the lone $6s^2$ pair in the hydrated cation. Short distance would indicate that it is active, while long distance – nonactive. Because the simple mononuclear aqua cation $Bi(H_2O)_m^{3+}$ exists only in diluted bismuth solutions, determination of Bi–O bond distance in $Bi(H_2O)_m^{3+}$ by X-ray structural methods is very difficult. However, Suganuma, Ono and Hataye [7] using ${}^{210}Bi$ radiotracer found only mononuclear complexes: $Bi(H_2O)_m^{3+}$, $Bi(OH)_n(H_2O)_{m-n}^{(3-n)+}$ in (Na,H)ClO₄ aqueous solutions for ultratrace concentrations of Bi^{3+} . Radioisotope methods with ${}^{212}Bi$, which have been applied in our studies, permit to study extremely diluted Bi^{3+} aqueous solutions in concentrations

^{*}To whom correspondence should be directed.

down to 10^{-15} - 10^{-16} mol dm⁻³. Under these conditions, the probability of collisions between Bi³⁺ ions is negligible and, therefore, they do not exist in any polynuclear forms. The aim of our studies was to explain the character of $6s^2$ lone pair in the mononuclear aqua complexes of Bi³⁺ using the radiotracer technique. The ²¹²Bi ($T_{1/2} = 60$ min) was obtained from ²³²U as one of the decay products. Separation of ²¹²Bi from ²³²U and other decay products was performed in a two-step procedure. In the first step 224 Ra was eluted by 0.1 mol dm⁻³ HNO₃ from HDEHP-teflon column loaded by 232 U. In the second step 212 Bi was separated from 224 Ra on a cation exchange resin by elution with 0.1 mol dm⁻³ HCl solution. The eluted BiCl⁻⁴ complex has been decomposed with a small volume of concentrated HClO₄, evaporated and dissolved in 2 mol dm⁻³ HClO₄. The ion exchange method with strong acidic cation exchange resin was used for the estimation of the ionic radius of Bi^{3+} in $Bi(H_2O)_m^{3+}$. For cations of the same charge, it has been found that distribution coefficients (K_d) are inversely proportional to the radius of hydrated cations [8]. By this method ionic radii of elements, which exist only in trace level (Fr⁺, Md²⁺, No²⁺), were determined [9,10]. Using batch technique, K_d of Bi^{3+} and other trivalent cations like Nd^{3+} , Ho^{3+} , La^{3+} , Lu^{3+} and Y^{3+} have been determined in 2 mol dm⁻³ HClO₄ solution. Fig. 1 presents plots of the K_d as a function of the outermost shell radii in the M³⁺ cation. The shell radii are the expected orbital radii $< r_{nl} >$ calculated by Desclaux [11]. The nl subscript denotes the outermost completely filled shell in the cation. Two hypothetical cases have been considered, when $6s^2$ lone pair of Bi³⁺ is stereochemically inactive ($6s^2$ outermost shell) or



Figure 1. The distribution coefficient of 3+ cations on strong acidic resin Dowex 50×4 as a function of the radius of the outermost shell. In the case of Bi³⁺ and Sb³⁺ ns² and (n-1)d¹⁰ outermost orbital are taken into account. K_d values are determined in 2 mol dm⁻³ HClO₄ solution.

occupies the hybrid orbital and is stereochemically active ($5d^{10}$ outermost shell). For comparison, the distribution coefficient of Sb³⁺ (using ¹²⁵Sb radiotracer), which is a Bi³⁺ homologue in the group 15, was determined.

As shown in Fig. 1 the K_d value for Bi^{3+} fits well the straight line observed for trivalent cations only when $6s^2$ shell radius is considered. In the case of Sb^{3+} , K_d value is much smaller and fits the line only when $4d^{10}$ not $5s^2$ is considered. The studies have shown that the lone pair in Bi^{3+} aqua ions is stereochemically inactive and ionic radius is large. In the case of Sb^{3+} aqua ion, lone s^2 pair occupies the hybrid orbital and is stereochemically active. Our conclusions have recently been confirmed by large angle X-ray scattering (LAXS) determination of Bi–O distances in a high concentrated solution of HClO₄ [12]. According to this information, such a solution consists mainly of unhydrolyzed Bi(III) cations.

The lone s^2 electron pair in group 15 behaves similarly to that in groups 13 and 14. It is easy to deduce from crystallographic data and enthalpy hydration values, that in Tl⁺ and Pb²⁺ aqua ions $6s^2$ pair is stereochemically inactive and for their homologues in 5th period – In⁺ and Sn²⁺ lone $5s^2$ pair is active and occupies the hybrid orbital.

REFERENCES

- 1. Siekierski S., Comm. Inorg. Chem., 19, 121 (1996).
- 2. Shannon R.D., Acta Cryst., A32, 751 (1976).
- 3. Wilkinson G., Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, V. 3, Pergamon Press, Oxford 1987, pp. 279–294.
- 4. Dimer R., Keppler B.K., Dittes U., Nuber B., Seifried V. and Opferkuch W., Chem. Ber., 128, 335 (1995).
- 5. Baes C.F. and Mesmer R.E., "The Hydrolysis of Cations", Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, Florida, 1986, pp. 375–381.
- 6. Lazarini F., Cryst. Struc. Commun., 8, 69 (1979).
- 7. Suganuma H., Ono K. and Hataye I., Radiochim. Acta, 51, 5 (1990).
- 8. Marhol M., "Ion Exchangers in Analytical Chemistry", Academia, Prague, 1982, p. 34.
- 9. Silva R.J., McDowell W.J., Keller O.L. and Tarrant J.R., Inorg. Chem., 13, 2233 (1974).
- Gusieva L.I., Tikhomirova G.S., Buklanov G.V., Zen Zin Phar, Lebedev I.A., Katargin N.V. and Myasoedov B.F., J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. (Letters), 117, 205 (1987).
- 11. Desclaux J.P., Atom Data Nucl. Data, 12, 311 (1973).
- 12. Persson I., personal information.